



# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	· FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/515,978	02/25/2000	Gerald M. Benson	55250USA1A	9164	
32692 7	7590 07/02/2003				
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY			EXAMINER		
	PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			CHEVALIER, ALICIA ANN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	1772	18	
			DATE MAILED: 07/02/2003	(0	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*			•	AS			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/515,978	BENSON ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Alicia Chevalier	1772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6 - If the perio - If NO perio - Failure to r - Any reply n	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. s of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.73) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. d for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutive deceived by the Office later than three months after the mailing ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however by within the statutory minimu will apply and will expire SIX be cause the application to be	, may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered timel (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).	y. ommunication.			
1)⊠ Re	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u>	April 2003 .					
2a) Th	is action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final	l.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition o							
4) Claim(s) 9-37 and 40 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-14 and 24-37</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
1	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-23 and 40</u> is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers							
9) <u></u> The	specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)□ Ack	nowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2.	Certified copies of the priority document	s have been receive	ed in Application No				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
15)∐ Ackn	The translation of the foreign language proposed owledgment is made of a claim for domest						
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice of D	References Cited (PTO-892)  Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No stice of Informal Patent Application (PT ner:				
U.S. Patent and Tradema PTO-326 (Rev. 04-		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 18				

Art Unit: 1772

#### RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT

## **Continued Prosecution Application**

1. The request filed on April 7, 2003 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

### WITHDRAWN REJECTIONS

- 2. The 35 U.S.C. §102 rejection of claims 16-23 and 40 as anticipated by Amemiya et al. (5,429,857) of record in paper #6, page 3, paragraph #6 and paper #9, page 2, paragraph #6 has been withdrawn due to Applicant's amendment in paper #13.
- 3. The 35 U.S.C. §102 rejection of claims 16, 18, 20 and 40 as anticipated by Oshima et al. (5,866,233) of record in paper #6, pages 3-4, paragraph #7 and paper #9, page 2, paragraph #6 has been withdrawn due to Applicant's amendment in paper #13.
- 4. The 35 U.S.C. §102 rejection of claims 16-23 as anticipated by Bacon, Jr. et al. (5,614,286) of record in paper #6, page 4, paragraph #8 has been withdrawn due to Applicant's amendment in paper #13.

#### REJECTIONS REPEATED

- 5. The 35 U.S.C. §112 rejection of claim 15 is repeated for reasons previously of record in paper #6, page 2, paragraph #3.
- 6. The 35 U.S.C. §102 rejection of claim 40 as anticipated by Bacon, Jr. et al. (5,614,286) is repeated for reasons previously of record in paper #9, page 2, paragraphs #6.

Application/Control Number: 09/515,978 Page 3

Art Unit: 1772

7. The 35 U.S.C. §102 rejection of claims 16-23 and 40 as anticipated by Nilsen et al. (5,657,162) is repeated for reasons previously of record in paper #6, page 4, paragraph #8 and paper #9, page 2, paragraphs #6.

8. The 35 U.S.C. §102/103 rejections of claim 15 are repeated for reasons previously of record in paper #6, page 5, paragraphs #10.

#### **NEW REJECTIONS**

9. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

### Claim Objections

10. Claim 15 objected to because of the following informalities: dependent from claim 1 which has been cancelled. Appropriate correction is required.

#### ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

11. Applicant's arguments filed in paper #13 regarding the 35 U.S.C. §112 and §102/§103 rejections have been carefully considered but are deemed unpersuasive.

Applicant argues that the rejection is rendered moot by the cancellation of claims 1-8 and claim 15 being withdrawn from consideration in the previous response. While the Examiner agrees that Applicant has canceled claims 1-8 making the 112 rejections over those claims moot, claim 15 has not be withdrawn from consideration. The Examiner is unable to find where in the final office action paper #9, mailed January 2, 2003, that claim 15 was withdrawn from

Application/Control Number: 09/515,978 Page 4

Art Unit: 1772

consideration and has not seen that Applicant has canceled claim 15. As noted in the acknowledgement of Applicant's election of Group I in paper #6 claim 15 is part of the pending claims. As such claim 15 remains pending and rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112 – 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph.

12. Applicant's arguments filed in paper #13 regarding the Potential Allowable Subject Matter have been carefully considered but are deemed unpersuasive.

Applicant argues that limitation changes discussed in the telephonic interview on March 3, 2003 would limit the invention of the claims unnecessarily and that the new language in the current amended claims is sufficient. The Examiner feels that Applicant's new language has over come some of the prior art of record but not all of it. However, the Examiner still believes that Allowable subject matter is in the application. As noted in the previous action: the specification has been noted as containing potentially allowable subject matter on pages 13 and 14. The "compound" substrate is described as comprising a replicated substrate with protrusions (pieces) of the machined substrate embedded in the replicated substrate. Therefore, each cube corner cavity (element) comprises a compound face made up of a portion substantially formed or replicated in the replicated substrate and a portion machined in the machined substrate. Essentially, the Examiner finds that the adding the subject matter relating to the fact that only portions of the machined substrate are left embedded in the replicated substrate to create a surface retroreflective cube corner elements to either claim 16 and/or claim 20 to be potentially allowable. The concept is best shown in figure 9.

Art Unit: 1772

#### Conclusion

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia Chevalier whose telephone number is (703) 305-1139. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. The Examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays

If attempts to reach the Examiner are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached by dialing (703) 308-4251. The fax phone number for the organization official non-final papers is (703) 872-9310. The fax number for after final papers is (703) 872-9311.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose phone number is (703) 308-0661.

ac

6/26/03 'Welle